



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_29693
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE EFFECT OF TOBACCO SNUFF CONSUMPTION ON PLASMA TOTAL PROTEIN, ALBUMIN, GLOBULIN AND FASTING BLOOD SUGAR LEVEL IN RATS
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors did not respond clearly and with scientific appropriateness to my comments. They also have not carried out adjustments to be made to the study that I had requested. To comment No. 7 they did not respond, and at No. 8 they have mentioned half a sentence.	<p><b>Please we appreciate you comments and critics. We believed we tried as much as possible to answer the reviewers question very well, but if he/she can be more clearer on the comment that we did not do justice to and the adjustment he/she needed us to work on, we will appreciate. In comment 7 we didn't understand what he/she meant by “</b></p> <p>In the “Results” section the authors have not to report data in the text already described on the tables”.</p> <p><b>Is it that we should not write the brief explanation of the result on top of the table; because, we know that is the standard? Please the reviewer should at least explain to us. On 8, we explained to the reviewer why we choose to use alphabets, instead of symbols.</b></p> <p><b>Thanks</b></p>